2021 Jun-01 PM 04:52 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

Exhibit 311



Deposition of: **CONF Deborah Haas-Wilson , Ph.D.**

January 10, 2021

In the Matter of:

Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation/in Re

Veritext Legal Solutions

877.373.3660 | calendar-al@veritext.com | 800.808.4958

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 106 is historical or recent. It all impacts where I 1 2. end up in terms of my opinions. It is usually 3 good -- a good idea to consider all available evidence. 4 So with respect to this at least one 5 Ο. 6 entrant that would enter Alabama, when would they 7 enter? MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form. 8 9 Ο. (BY MR. BERNICK:) Or when would they 10 have entered? Let me put it that way. 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Same objection. 12 Α. They would have entered a long time ago. Had the exclusive service areas not been in 13 effect, had the market allocation agreements on 14 15 selling not been in effect, there would be other 16 Blues selling healthcare financing services in 17 Alabama way back. 18 Had there been no market allocation 19 agreements on contracting, then there would be 2.0 other Blues contracting with providers in Alabama 21 from way back. So that by the time we got to the 2.2 class period, they would be well-established Blue 23 competitors --24 Q. (BY MR. BERNICK:) You anticipated ---- in Alabama. 25 Α.

CONFIDENTIAL

Page 107 You anticipated my last --1 Ο. 2. Α. Let me just turn that off. 3 Ο. You anticipated my next question. So the entry that you are talking about would have 4 been before the class period, right? 5 6 Α. Historical, yes. 7 And it would have been before 2005? Ο. 8 Α. Most likely, yes. 9 Ο. And I think in your report you even 10 stated it would have been decades ago; is that 11 fair? 12 I think I remember using the word 13 "decades," yes. Do you know how many decades ago it 14 Ο. 15 would have been? 16 I certainly thought about it. 17 don't remember back when I was writing the April 18 report how many decades I was thinking about at the 19 But certainly multiple decades. Would it have been before 1972? 2.0 Q. 21 Α. Quite possible and quite not. I mean 22 it could have been happening before '72 and after 23 I don't know what is special about '72. 24 Ο. Well, let me tell you one thing that

is special about 1972. Have you -- have you

25

CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 108
1	reviewed the license agreements in this case?
2	A. I have looked at the license
3	agreements, yes.
4	Q. So the license agreements in this
5	case both on the Blue Cross and Blue Shield side
6	say, and I quote, "The rights hereby granted are
7	exclusive to the plan within the geographical areas
8	served by the plan on June 30th, 1972, and/or to
9	which the plan has been granted a subsequent
10	license except to the extent the service area may
11	overlap with the areas served by one or more other
12	licensed Blue Cross plans as of the said date."
13	So, you know, no entry prior to 1972
14	would have been prohibited by the license
15	agreement, right?
16	MR. SHEEHAN: Objection to form.
17	A. From conversations that I have had
18	with Professor Frech, I am under the impression
19	I mean he is the expert on history. But I was
20	under the impression that those exclusive service
21	areas were in effect way back in the 1930s and '40s
22	and '50s
23	Q. (BY MR. BERNICK:) So you are
24	A that it predated '72, the
25	exclusive service areas.